

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROY ADAMS, ROBERT EGGERT,  
MICHAEL FERRIS, ED HALL, ROHIT  
SINGH, DUJUAN NICKSON, and  
DONTRELL BUNTER, on behalf of  
themselves and a class of those similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

INTER-CON SECURITY SYSTEMS,  
INC., d/b/a INTER-CON SECURITY  
SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant.

Case No. C-06-5428 MHP

**COLLECTIVE ACTION AND CLASS  
ACTION**

**~~[REVISED PROPOSED]~~ FINAL  
JUDGMENT**

Date: February 25, 2008  
Time: 2:00 p.m.  
Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor

Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel

1  
2 WHEREAS, on October 29, 2007, this Court granted preliminary approval to a settlement  
3 of this action, embodied in the Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit 1 to this Court's  
4 October 29, 2007 order;

5  
6 WHEREAS, the Court granted final approval to the settlement on February 25, 2008,  
7 finding that the settlement is fair, reasonable and adequate within the meaning of Federal Rule of  
8 Civil Procedure 23(e) and applicable law; and

9  
10 WHEREAS, the Court has found that the notice sent to the Class Members fairly and  
11 adequately informed the Classes of the terms of the settlement, was consistent with Federal Rule  
12 of Civil Procedure 23 and due process, and was given in the manner prescribed by the Settlement  
13 Agreement and the Court's order preliminarily approving the settlement:


14  
15 This Court hereby enters final judgment in this case, and dismisses it with prejudice, in  
16 accordance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, attached as Exhibit 1 to the October 29,  
17 2007 order granting preliminary approval, and the Order (1) Confirming Final Certification of  
18 Classes and Collective Action and (2) Granting Final Approval to Class Action Settlement  
19 ("Final Approval Order"). The Court hereby permanently enjoins and restrains all individuals  
20 from asserting any and all claims that were released pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the  
21 Final Approval Order. This Final Judgment shall not bind the persons listed in Exhibit A, who  
22 have timely opted out of the settlement class.

23  
24 Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment in any way, the Court reserves  
25 exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over this action, the Named Plaintiffs, the Classes, and the  
26 Defendant for the purposes of (a) supervising the implementation, enforcement, construction and  
27 interpretation of the Settlement Agreement, the Preliminary Approval Order, the Final Approval  
28 Order, and this Final Judgment and the distribution of Settlement Payments and tax forms; and

1 (b) hearing, determining and implementing the application, in accordance with the terms of the  
2 Settlement Agreement, by Class Counsel for an award of attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses and  
3 by the Named Plaintiffs for service payments.

4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6  
7 Dated: February 25, 2008

  
8 The Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel  
9 United States District Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**EXHIBIT A**

**TIMELY OPT OUTS FROM THE CLASS**

Below is the complete and final list of individuals who have timely and properly opted out of the Settlement Class:

1. Alcantara, Harold S.
2. Ariano, Issa
3. Baker, Janella P.
4. Carcamo, Juan C.
5. Clinton, Judy L.
6. Daniel, Marcus S.
7. Huynh, Chau
8. Kumar, Raveen M.
9. Muhamedovic, Haris
10. Nanpa, Gustave L.
11. Orucevic, Denis
12. Padilla, Daniel
13. Sandhu, Prakash
14. Velsaco, Rebecca E.
15. Washington, Pierre R.